

(1)

GOVERNMENT OF MEGHALAYA
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

* * *

ORDER

Dated Shillong, the 22nd February, 2022

No.EDN/CC-13/2012/Pt.XV/4:- In continuation to this Department Order No.EDN/CC-13/2012/Pt.XIII/19, Dated 3rd November, 2021 and as laid down in Para (2) of the operative part of the order dated 2nd November, 2017 of the Hon'ble High Court of Meghalaya, in W.A. No.52/2011, the 66 (sixty six) candidates declared as TAINTED by the Three Members Committee vide letter No.CS/EDN/CC/2021/01 dated 22nd February, 2021. List of the 66 (sixty six) tainted candidates who are liable for penalty enclosed at **Annexure-I** are permanently debarred from seeking any Government employment.

Sd/-

(D. P. Wahlang, IAS)

Addl. Chief Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya,
Education Department.

Memo.No.EDN/CC-13/2012/Pt.XV/4-A Dated Shillong, the 22nd February, 2022

Copy to:

1. P.S. to the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Meghalaya, for his kind information.
2. P.S. to the Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister of Meghalaya, for his kind information.
3. P.S. to the Hon'ble Minister of Education, Government of Meghalaya, for kind information.
4. P.S. to Speaker for kind information of the Speaker, Meghalaya Legislative Assembly, Shillong.
5. Leader of opposition for his kind information.
6. P.S. to the Chief Secretary, Government of Meghalaya, for kind information.
7. P.S. to Addl. Chief Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya, Education Department, for information.
8. Shri. G.H.P. Raju, IPS, Principal Secretary, Government of Meghalaya, Co-operation, etc., Department for information.
9. Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department.
10. Shri. Cyril V.D. Diengdoh, IAS, Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Personnel & Administrative Reforms Department for information.
11. Dr. Vanessa Kharmawphlang, Principal, CTE (PGT) Shillong for information.
12. The Director of School Education & Literacy, Meghalaya, Shillong for information with a request to kindly circulate the **ORDER** to all 66 (sixty six) Tainted Candidates.
13. Shri. Amit Kumar, Advocate General, Meghalaya for information.
14. The Secretary, Meghalaya Public Service Commission, Meghalaya, Shillong (Cell) / Tura (Cell)
15. All Member Secretary, District Selection Committee.
16. All Administrative Department / Head of Departments.
17. All Deputy Commissioner / SDO(C).
18. The Director, State Disaster Management Authority, Meghalaya, Shillong for information.
19. All 66 (sixty six) Tainted Candidates for information.

By Order, etc



Deputy Secretary to the Government of Meghalaya,
Education Department

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
NAME OF THE CENTRE: BAGHMARA (Cut off marks: 31)						
1	Shri Green Bilcheng Ch Marak	Fresh candidate	He admitted not having professional qualification like BTC or DIET before joining the present job. The Selection Committee awarded eight (8) marks for having passed BTC /DIET which should not have been awarded.	He claimed that he had qualified as Block Resource Person and the certificate issued to this effect by the DIET is equivalent to BTC and hence the Selection Committee awarded 8 marks for the same.	The 10 days certificate course undergone by the candidate as Block Resource Person is not reflected in the Notification dated 24/11/2008 under the Professional Qualification head. Hence his claim is not valid. The Notification dated 24/11/2008 clearly listed the certificates eligible for eight (8) marks under Professional Qualification.	TAINED
2	Shri Buja R Sangma	Fresh candidate	The candidate did not qualify in the SET exam. But the Selection Committee awarded 10 marks to the candidate against SET column, which is wrong. If these ten (10) marks are deducted, the total would be twenty-two (22). Hence tainted.	He claimed that he had qualified SET exam and submitted the original certificate along with the Application when applying for the post without keeping a copy of the same.	His claim lacks merit. CBI has rightly brought on record that the candidate did not pass SET qualification. Hence, the ten (10) marks unduly awarded for the SET certificate which the candidate did not have, by the Selection Committee. The total marks thus become twenty-two (22) only.	TAINED
3	Smt Trusline G Marak	In service candidate.	The candidate was age barred as per her DOB certificate. She mentioned her DOB as 22/2/1976. Being ST, the upper age limit was 32 years and minimum age is 18 years as on 1/12/2008. She should be born between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990. She is age barred for the selection.	Not disputed about her DOB as per the certificate. Working as Substitute teacher from 31/3/2001 till 29/7/2005 with intermittent gaps.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). She did not possess five years of continuous service for age relaxation as an in-service candidate. (Nekora Govt LP School, SGH: From 01/3/2001 to 31/12/2001; 01/3/2002 to 31/12/2002; 01/3/2003 to 28/2/2004; (Jan and Feb 2003 gap); 01/3/2003 to 28/2/2004; 01/3/2004 to 31/12/2004; (Jan, Feb, Mar 2005 gap); 05/4/2005 to 4/6/2005; 16/6/2005 to 29/7/2005;) Though she was serving in a Govt L.P School, she lacks five (5) years of continuous experience.	TAINED

N	SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted Not Tainted
4	4	Shri Pansh G Momin	In service candidate.	As per document, his DOB is 23/12/1973. Being ST, the upper age limit was 32 years and minimum age is 18 years as on 1/12/2008. He should be born between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990. He is age barred for the selection.	He claimed that the Govt Vide Order No 196/2003/31 dated 24/05/2005 stated that the upper age limit for the employment of STs/SC candidates in Govt Aided schools may be considered up to 40 years as per the provisions of SR 6(a) (iv) of the Meghalaya FR & SR 1984. The candidate has claimed teaching experience dated 6/3/99 from the Head Master that he has teaching experience as Substitute teacher from March 1997 till Feb 1999.	The candidate has only two (2) years of teaching experience from March 1997 till Feb 1999. He did not fulfil the requisite five years continuous period of experience for age relaxation. Govt Vide Order No 196/2003/31 dated 24/05/2005 is applicable for employment in Govt Aided Schools only and not for recruitment for the current selection test. Hence, he is age barred.	TAINTED
5	5	Shri John Jeffry M Sangma	In-service candidate	His DOB was 05-01-1974. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. He is age barred for the selection test. Hence tainted.	During the year 2004, when I was first appointed as Asst teacher in Wagesik UP School from 2004 to 2008 and my age was only 30 years which is well within the prescribed age limit. I am eligible for age relaxation as I was having experience.	The candidate did not full fill the requisite age criteria as per the Notification dated 24-11-2008. He produced experience certificate dated 15/8/2008 from the Head Mistress, Wagesik UP School, mentioning that "he served five years as an Asst Teacher" in that school. This certificate has no merit as it is general in nature without any specifics about the period of teaching etc details. Wagesik UP School is not a Govt LP School. Hence, he is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED
6	6	Smt Gabina N Sangma	In service candidate.	The candidate was age barred as per her DOB certificate. Her Dob is 4/2/1974. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible to appear for the selection test. She is age barred and hence tainted.	Not disputed about her DOB as per the certificate. Working as teacher from 01/03/1993 at Chokpot English Upper Primary School, which is an ad hoc school of the Govt as claimed by the candidate. She submitted an experience certificate dated 13/7/2006 issued by the Head Mistress cum Secretary, UP English School, Chokpot, indicating teaching experience of the candidate from 1/3/1993 to 13/7/2006.	Not eligible for age relaxation as per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d). "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government LP School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Chokpot English Upper Primary School is not a Govt L P School. She is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted or Not Tainted
7	Shri Millickson D Sangma	In service candidate.	His DOB was 20-08-1974. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible to appear for the selection test. He is age barred and hence tainted.	As per the appointment order dated 01/06/1994, he was appointed as Asst Teacher at Rewak Govt Pattern Deficit ME School, Rewak from 01/06/1994. Also, as per the appointment order dated 04/02/2004, he was appointed as Asst Teacher at Nakalgre, SSA UP School, Alokpang Nakalgre from 04/02/2004.	Not eligible for age relaxation as per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/P1 II/428 dated 24/11/2008. Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P. School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P. School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Rewak Govt Pattern Deficit ME School, Rewak, is not a Govt L.P. School. Hence not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED
8	Shri Chenang S Marak	In-service candidate	The DOB of the candidate was 02/02/1974. Those ST candidates whose DOB falls between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990 were eligible for apply. Therefore, the candidate is age barred. Also, he was awarded seven (7) marks for four (4) years of experience which is irregular.	He claimed age relaxation as he was working as Asst Teacher in Paradise Adhoc UP School, Karukol, SGH from 03/04/2002 till 28/02/2009 as certified by the Head Master cum Secretary, Paradise UP School, Karukol, SGH.	The candidate had claimed age relaxation as in service candidate. However, Paradise Adhoc UP School, Karukol, SGH is NOT a Govt LP School. As per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/P1 II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P. School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P. School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003).	TAINTED
9	Smt Regina R Marak	In-service candidate	The DOB of the candidate was 09/07/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990 were eligible for apply. Therefore, the candidate is age barred.	As per the Certificate dated 07/06/2018 from the Sub-Divisional School Educational Officer, Baghmara, SGH, the candidate had been serving as an Asst Teacher in Gittingro Ad hoc UP School since 1995 till 2009 and completed 15 years of teaching experience. Hence claimed age relaxation.	Not eligible for age relaxation as per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/P1 II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P. School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P. School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Gittingro Ad hoc UP School is not a Govt L.P. School. Hence, she is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED

Sl. No.	Name of the candidate	(In-service) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
0	Shri Urshah N Sangma	In-service candidate	He has produced experience certificate for 14 years since 14/03/1993. The experience certificate appears to be false as he passed his class 12th in the year 1997. It is not possible that he had started teaching since 1993 as in this year he must be in standard 8th.	His DOB was 07/05/1977. As per the MBOSE certificate No 6120, he passed the SLC Exam in the year 1993 with third class. He cleared his 12 exams with Roll No 5535 in the year 1997. On 14/03/1993 onwards, he had been working as Asst Teacher in Gittingre Ad hoc UP School, SGH.	The Dy Inspector of Schools, Baghmara, SGH, had issued teaching experience certificate Number NIL and dated NIL that the candidate had teaching experience for fourteen years from 14/3/1993 onwards. The candidate was eligible to appoint as an Asst Teacher only after he completed his class 12, which he did in the year 1997. Though it is partly disagreed with the length of experience mentioned in the certificate issued by the Dy Inspector of Schools, Baghmara, there is no dispute of the fact that the candidate continued as Asst Teacher after his class 12th pass in the year 1997. If so, the length of experience must be counted from the year 1997 and not from the year 1993 the year in which he passed his SSC. Then the length of experience would be ten (10) years. However, as per records from the Education Dept. Gittingre Ad hoc UP School, SGH, is NOT a Govt School. Hence, he is not eligible for age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008	TAINTED.
	Smt Jasintha R Marak	In-service candidate	Her DOB was 31/12/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990 were eligible for apply. Therefore, the candidate is age barred.	She claimed teaching experience from 04/04/1998 onwards for about ten (10) years from Don Bosco Secondary School, Rongkon, Tura, which is a Grant-in-Aid School. The CBI had erred in treating me as a tainted candidate.	As per the certificate dated 04/04/1998 issued by the Secretary, Don Bosco Secondary School, Rongkon, Tura, which is a Grant-in-Aid School, the candidate had continuous teaching experience for more than five (5) years. As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003) In the instant case, the candidate is serving as Asst. Teacher in Don Bosco Secondary School, Rongkon, Tura, This is not a Govt LP School. Hence rejected.	TAINTED.

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
12	Shri Lakman R Sangma	In-service candidate	The DOB of the candidate was 11/05/1976. Those ST candidates whose DOB falls between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990 were eligible for apply. Therefore, the candidate is age barred.	As per the Teaching Experience certificate No NIL, Dated NIL, issued by the Dy Inspector of Schools, Baghmara, SGH, that the candidate had been serving as a temporary UP School teacher, under the control of the Dy Insp of Schools, Baghmara, since 15/2/1999 and completed seven (7) years of service without break in his service tenure as Head Teacher at CWSDO UP School, Chokpot, SGH.	Chokpot Women Social Developmental Organization (CWSDO) Upper Primary School, Chokpot, issued a certificate of appointment dated 15/2/1999 appointing the candidate as an Asst Teacher. Also, the Headmaster cum Secretary of the same school gave a certificate dated NIL that the candidate had served as Head Teacher in the same school from 15/2/1999 till 16/02/2010 for a continuous period of eleven years. As per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). CWSDO UP School, Chokpot, SGH, is NOT a Govt LP School.	TAINTED
13	Shri Millarson Ch Marak	In-service candidate	His DOB was 07/11/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990 were eligible for apply. Therefore, the candidate is age barred. The applicant was awarded seven (7) marks for experience. He was age barred.	He served as substitute teacher from 01/03/2001 to 31/12/2001; from 01/3/2002 to Jan 2003; for another ten months from 01/03/2003; for another ten months from 01/3/2004; and his contract appointment was extended in the same manner up to the year 2007. Thus, he claimed six years of teaching experience and eligible for age relaxation.	As per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). In the instant case, the candidate is serving as Basic training substitute Teacher in Nangrachi LP School, Baghmara, SGH, as per his claim for about seven years @ ten months per year. This is not a Govt LP School. Hence rejected.	TAINTED

NAME OF THE CENTRE: KHLIEHRIAT (Cut off marks: 30)

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Taint Not Ta
1	Smt Hajan U Tre Malang	Fresh candidate	She did not possess SET qualification. She was awarded ten (10) marks for SET, which is irregular. Also, she was awarded seven (7) marks even though she had six (6) years teaching experience as per certificate dated 24/8/2009 produced by her. One (1) mark extra awarded by the Selection Committee which is irregular. And hence tainted.	She claimed that on the day of interview, she produced the SET certificates before the interviewers and thereby she was awarded ten (10) marks under the Professional qualification by the Selection Committee. She also claimed that she enclosed her SET certificate along with the original application and hence she did not have a copy of the same. She also justified securing seven (7) marks under Experience and Practice Teaching ability which carried a maximum of ten (10) marks.	The claim put forth by the candidate is far from convincing. She can't take the plea that the SET certificate was enclosed with the original application and she did not have a copy of the same for verification. The CBI is right in pointing this fact out and treated the candidature as tainted.	TAINT
2	Smt Madona Pala (1310/2020)	Fresh candidate	The candidate did not qualify in the SET exam. But the Selection Committee awarded 10 marks to the candidate against SET column, which is wrong. Also, she had one (1) year of teaching experience as per certificate dated 9/6/1998 whereas the Selection Committee had awarded three (3) marks for experience which is irregular. If these (10+2=12) marks deducted, her grand total will be nineteen (19). Hence tainted.	She claimed two (2) stints of teaching experience viz for one (1) year as per certificate dated 9/6/1998 and seven (7) years of teaching experience from 14/2/2004 till 2009. She claimed that the CBI had overlooked her second experience certificate.	The CBI rightly pointed out that the candidate had no SET qualification and she was awarded ten (10) marks wrongly for the same by the Selection Committee. Even if the three (3) marks awarded by the Selection Committee were added to the grand total after deducting ten (10) marks for lack of SET certificate, her total marks would be twenty-one (21). She is not qualified.	TAINT
3	Smt Ridahun Malang (21/10/2020)	In-service candidate	Her DOB was 2-08-1975. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. She was age barred. Being an ST candidate, the upper age limit was 32 years and the minimum age was 18 years as on 1/12/2008. Hence, he should have been born between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990. Hence tainted.	She claimed age relaxation as per the Notification. She claimed teaching experience from 28/2/2006 till 2009. She had been serving as Officiating Teacher against the vacancy arisen due to the retirement of Smt Baines Langstang from Sutnga Mission Govt L.P. School, vide appointment order dated 28/2/2006 onwards for extended periods of 59 days.	The Committee meticulously noted her experience from 28/2/2006 till 22/9/2009 as per the successive orders dated 28/2/2006, 2/5/2006; 27/6/2006; 25/8/2006, 23/10/2006; 20/12/2006; 16/2/2007; 16/4/2007; 18/6/2007; 16/8/2007; 17/10/2007; 17/3/2008; 13/5/2008; 16/7/2008; 15/9/2008; 17/11/2008; 25/2/2009; 18/5/2009; 20/7/2009; 22/9/2009 issued by the Dy Inspector of Schools, Khliehriat Sub-Division, E.J.H. district against the vacancy due to the retirement of Smt Baines Langstang. Even if the above periodically extended @59 days on continuous basis is calculated, it comes to four (4) years and two (2) months experience. Even if this Selection Committee wants to give the benefit of age relaxation to the candidate, the total period is NOT five years of continuous service as per the Notification. Hence, she is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINT

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
4	Smt Rilang Lyngdoh (13/10/2020)	Fresh candidate	The candidate did not qualify in the SET exam. But the Selection Committee awarded 10 marks to the candidate against SET column, which is wrong. Hence tainted.	She claimed that the ten (10) marks awarded by the Selection Committee are for her graduation which was wrongly mentioned as for SET certificate. She passed BA in 2006 from NEHU and placed in SIMPLE PASS. She secured II Division in Class XII from MBOSE, Meghalaya.	The argument lacks merit. The Degree qualification is under "Academic Qualification head" and she cleared BA with SIMPLE PASS which carried no weightage. SET is under "Professional qualification head". She should not have been awarded ten (10) marks for SET as she did not possess SET qualifying certificate. CBI has rightly pointed this fact out. She cleared her BA with simple pass which carried NO marks in this recruitment process. However, she passed Class XII in II Division which carried five (5) marks. Even though she secured II Division in Class XII, as she did not possess SET qualification, she stands NOT qualified as per the Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008: - Eligibility and Educational Qualification: Clause (1)(a):- HSSLC /PUC pass + SET pass during 2006-07 are eligible to apply.	TAINED
5	Shri Soborlong Nongtdu (14/10/2020)	Fresh candidate	He did not possess the graduation certificate as on the date of the Notification dated 28/11/2008. He got his degree from NEHU only on 6/11/2009. But he was awarded ten (10) marks under Academic Qualification head even though he was not a graduate. This is irregular. He passed his 12th class in second division for which he is entitled for five (5) marks only. His candidature is tampered due to award of ten (10) marks for graduation degree though he did not possess the same as on the Notification date.	He stated that he obtained his graduation degree from NEHU on 6/11/2009. He admitted that the ten (10) marks awarded to him by the Selection Committee were under the "Academic Qualification head".	He did not have graduation degree as on the date of notification. He obtained his degree from NEHU on 6/11/2009 well after the notification date. Hence, he is not entitled for ten (10) marks under "Academic Qualification Head". Tainted.	TAINED.
6	Shri Andreas C Ryngksai (21/10/2020)	Fresh candidate	The candidate did not qualify in the SET/BTC/DIET/B.Ed. The Selection Committee awarded ten (10) marks under "Professional Qualification Head" which is wrong. Hence tainted.	He claimed that he had qualified in the SET exam but submitted the original certificate along with the application. He did not keep a copy of the same.	The argument put forth by the candidate lacks merit. He has not produced document regarding passing SET exam. Hence, claim is rejected.	TAINED.

SD SN	Name of the Candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted or Not Tainted
7	Shri Evaristar Pala	In-service candidate	His DOB is 15/5/1973. The ST candidate whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Being an ST candidate, the upper age limit was 32 years and the minimum age was 18 years as on 1/12/2008. Hence, he should have been born between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990. Hence the candidate is age barred.	The candidate countered the observations of the CBI by claiming ten (10) years of teaching experience from Sacred Heart Upper Primary School, Khliehriat, EJH district by producing a certificate No NIL and dated NIL from the Headmistress, Sacred Heart Secondary School, Lamyrsiang, EJH, Meghalaya. Hence, he claimed age relaxation as in-service candidate.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt II/428 dated 24/11/2008 who have been teaching in the Govt LP Schools for a continuous period of not less than five years as on 24/11/2008 are eligible for age relaxation. Sacred Heart Upper Primary School, Khliehriat, EJH, is not a Govt School. Hence age relaxation is not permitted.	TAINTED
9	Shri Everistar Pala	In-service candidate	As per the document attached with the Application, his DOB is 15/5/1973. Being an ST candidate, the upper age limit was 32 years and the minimum age was 18 years as on 1/12/2008. Hence, he should have been born between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990. Therefore, he was age barred.	I was teaching in the Sacred Heart U.P School, since the year 2001 vide appointment letter dated 20/2/2001. I continued teaching in the school for ten (10) years. Hence, I am eligible for age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.III/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003) Sacred Heart U.P School is a private un-aided school. Hence not qualified for age relaxation.	TAINTED
NAME OF THE CENTRE: MAIRANG (Cut off marks: 36)						
1	Smt Synta Merry Lyngdoh	Fresh candidate	She passed Class 12 with second division for which five (5) marks should have been awarded. But the Board awarded ten (10) marks as if she is a graduate with 45% marks. By doing so the Board wrongly awarded five (5) extra marks to the candidate. If these five (5) extra marks are deducted, her Grand total would be thirty-three (33). Hence tainted.	She admitted that class 12 pass with second division entails five (5) marks only. However, she claimed that she passed Diploma in Elementary Education with first class marks for which she claimed five (5) more marks. She also pleaded that she is physically challenged with locomotor disability.	It is a fact that she did not possess graduation degree with 45% marks for securing ten (10) marks under Academic Qualification head. The Board had favoured her by awarding ten (10) marks under the Academic Qualification head, which is wrong. CBI has rightly brought this fact on record. As per the Notification EDN.360/85/Pt. II/428 dated 24/11/2008 under Eligibility and Educational Qualification: (1)(c): DIET/NTC/BTC/CTTC issues certificates such as JTTC / DETE / D.Ed / CPE from IGNOU, which carried a maximum of eight (8) marks. Diploma in Elementary Education, passed in the year 2017-19, which can't be accepted. There was no special reservation for physically challenged candidates under the current Notification.	TAINTED

23	2	Smt Carameyin Rynthaliang	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 27/10/1974. The ST candidate whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	The candidate countered the observations of the CBI by claiming thirteen (13) years of teaching experience from Langtor (Day) LP School, which is an SSA School by producing an appointment letter No LDLPS/APPT/1997/3 dated 24/2/1997 and an experience certificate No NIL and dated 20/5/2009 from the Head Teacher of the School. Hence, he claimed age relaxation as in-service candidate.	As per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Langtor (Day) LP School, which is an SSA School. Hence not qualified for age relaxation.	TAINTED
24	3	Smt Merisha Lyngdoh Kynsi	In-service candidate	Her DOB was 8-1-1976. Being ST, the upper age limit was 32 years and minimum age was 18 as on 01/12/2008. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. She was age barred. Also, she submitted teaching experience certificate 5/1/2009 for having teaching experience of eight (8) years. As per the score sheet, she was awarded ten (10) marks for experience. Therefore, the Board had awarded her two (2) extra marks. However, she is age barred for the job.	She contended that, as per the Notification dated 10/12/2008, she is entitled for age relaxation. She submitted experience certificate NIL and dated 5/1/2009 that she is serving as Asst Teacher in Langtor (Day) LP School, from 1/3/2002 till 5/1/2009 (About seven years and nine months).	As per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Langtor (Day) LP School, which is an SSA School. Hence not qualified for age relaxation.	TAINTED
25	4	Shri Ardwin Lyngdoh	In-service candidate	His DOB is 18/10/1976. The ST candidate whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed that he was teaching in Nongkharai Christian Secondary School, WKH, since the year 2001 vide appointment letter dated 10/2/2001. This is a Govt Ad hoc school. He was 25 years of age when joined this assignment. He claimed continuously teaching in this school for five years till 2006. And in April, 2008 I was appointed as Asst Teacher in Tiro Singh Govt Higher Secondary School.	As per the Govt Notification EDN 360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Nongkharai Christian Secondary School, WKH, is NOT a Govt LP school. Hence not qualified for age relaxation.	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the Candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
5	Smt Klasstimens Lyngdoh	In-service candidate	Her DOB was 19-11-1975. Being ST, the upper age limit was 32 years and minimum age was 18 as on 01/12/2008. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. She was age barred. Also, she passed her class 12 in third division. She is entitled for only two (2) marks for the same. But the Board awarded five (5) marks for the same thus wrongly awarded three (3) marks extra. If these three (3) extra marks are deducted, her Grand total would be thirty-seven (37) marks. Hence tainted due to age bar.	She contended that she had enough experience in teaching. (1). As Asst Teacher in Jaud Govt LP School, from March 1998 to Dec 1998. At that time, she was 22 years of age. She passed her PUC vide certificate dated 06/10/1997 in III Division. She submitted teaching experience certificate Number NIL, Dated NIL issued by the Headmistress, Jaud UP School, (SSA) from 25/03/2002 to 10/06/2009 (Seven years of experience).	Jaud Govt LP School, is a Govt school. She served in this Govt school from March 1998 to Dec 1998 only. Then she joined Jaud UP School, Lyngkhoi, EKH, on 25/2/2002. The experience certificate submitted by the applicant shows seven (7) years of teaching experience from 25/03/2002 to 10/06/2009 from Jaud UP School, (SSA), Jaud, EKH district. This is NOT a Govt LP School. She is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAINTED
6	Shri Bringstar Kurbah	In-service candidate	His DOB was 06-08-1975. Being ST candidate, the upper age limit was 32 years. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. He was age barred.	Prior to joining the current job, he was teaching in Saw Kyntoit M E School since 1997 vide appointment letter dated 18/2/1997. It is a govt aided school. I joined this post at the age of 22 years. I continued teaching for twelve (12) years in that school. Hence, he claimed age relaxation. He passed BA in the year 1996.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), *persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Saw Kyntoit M E School is NOT a Govt School. Hence not qualified for age relaxation. Also, he got II Division in his BA. He did not qualify in the SET 2006).	TAINTED.
NAME OF THE CENTRE: MAWKYRWAT {Cut off marks: 36}						
1	Shri Consider Nongsiej	In-service candidate	His DOB is 12/3/1976. The ST candidate whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, the Board awarded him ten (10) marks for BA pass in third division. He passed PUC with second division only and hence entitled for five (5) marks only. However, he is age barred for the job.	He did not possess BA degree qualification. He produced experience certificate dated 24/9/2008 from a private school issued by its Managing Committee, which is not a valid proof of teaching experience for a continuous period of not less than five years as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	He passed PUC in II Division. He qualified in the SET exam, 2006. He also produced teaching experience certificate dated 24/9/2008 showing experience from 15/2/1996 till 24/9/2008 (12 years) in Mawranglang Pres UP School, Mawranglang, which is a private school. As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, he not only age barred but also not eligible for age relaxation as the teaching experience was not from a Govt school	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the Candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
2	Shri Elarius S Dupo	Fresh candidate	He did not possess BA degree with 45% and/or above marks; but awarded ten (10) marks by the Board under Academic Qualification head. He passed class XII in III division / class for which two (2) marks should have been awarded. He also did not qualify in SET. But he was given ten (10) marks under Professional Qualification head by the Board. In total eighteen (18) extra marks were awarded to the candidate. If these eighteen (18) extra marks deducted from the total, the total would come to twenty-two (22). Hence tainted.	He has qualified in CPE which he claimed equivalent to SET. Hence, he deserves ten (10) marks under Professional Qualification head. He also claimed six (6) years of teaching experience from 16/2/2004 onwards from Indira Gandhi Memorial UP School, Rangasora, WKH. Copy of experience certificate dated 22/5/2009 is produced.	He lacked graduation qualification with 45% or more marks to qualify for ten (10) marks. Also, he secured III division in XII Class for which he deserves two (2) marks; but the Board awarded ten (10) marks for the same. However, CPE pass from IGNOU carried eight (8) marks under Professional Qualification head. Now the total marks secured by the candidates is as follows: 40-10=30-8=22 + 8 (CPE)=30. Qualifying marks are 36 for this Sub-Division.	TAINED
3	Smt Rina Mary Thongni	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 23/7/1975. The ST candidate whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, the Board awarded her seven (7) marks for four (4) years of teaching experience as claimed by the candidate. However, he is age barred for the job. If these three (3) marks are deducted, the Grand total would be thirty-seven (37).	She claimed age relaxation by quoting two stints of teaching experience as Asst Teacher for three years and another five years as the Head Mistress of Mariem UP School, Mariem, signed dated 18/4/2006 by the Secretary of the School. Also, another experience certificate dated 28/4/2006 signed by the Secretary, Mariem Govt LP appointing her as Asst Teacher for a period of 59 days and continued till 20/6/2008 as per the Experience certificate dated 20/6/2008 issued by the Secretary of the school.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.III/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as LP School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government LP School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Mariem UP School, Mariem, is not a Govt School. Hence, she is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINED
4	Shri Klosstar Syiemieih	In-service candidate	His DOB is 5/11/1975. The ST candidate whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, the Board awarded him ten (10) marks for ten (10) years of teaching experience as claimed by the candidate. However, he is age barred for the job.	He stated that he passed PUC in 1994 and secured second division. He is also SET qualified. From 1995 onwards, he was serving as Asst Teacher in Keniong Presbyterian UP School, now known as the Thiepdienngan Keniong Presbyterian UP School from Feb 1995. A copy of the experience certificate dated 2/1/2009 is enclosed for reference. He stated that he also cleared BA degree with second division in the year 2003 and also the SET exam 2006-7. He claimed teaching experience of more than 13 years.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as LP School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government LP School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Thiepdienngan Keniong Presbyterian UP School is not a Govt LP School. He is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINED

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
5	Smt Mallity Lyngdoh	In-service candidate	Her DOB was 01-03-1974. Being ST candidate, the upper age limit was 32 years. Those whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. She was age barred.	She contested that She is SET qualified candidate. Also, she did District Elementary Education Elementary Test (DEEET) in 1997, which is covered under the Professional Qualification head. She also did CPE and claimed that CPE is equivalent to SET. Also, she claimed teaching experience from 5/2/2001 till 8/1/2009 from Mawroh Presbyterian UP School, Nongstoin, WKH dt.	As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Mawroh Presbyterian UP School, Nongstoin, WKH dt is not a Govt LP School. She is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED.
6	Smt Danasha Nongrum	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 6/3/1976. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, the Board awarded her ten (10) marks for four (4) years of teaching experience as claimed by the candidate. However, she is age barred for the job.	She passed BA in II Division in the year 1998. She also qualified in JTTC certification. She produced teaching experience certificate dated 20/3/2009 as Asst Teacher from Mawkhaitngap L.P School from 1997 to 2009. she claimed age relaxation as in-service candidate.	She submitted experience certificate dated 20/3/2009 issued by the Head Teacher, Mawkhaitngap LP School mentioning that she had been teaching in the said school since 1997 till 2009, which is about twelve years. As per the Govt Notification EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Eligibility and Educational Qualification clause (1) (d), "persons who possess the minimum qualification (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as L.P School Teacher who have been teaching in the Government L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24-11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit of twenty seven (27) years (32 years for SC/ST candidates as on 25/11/2003). Mawkhaitngap LP School is not a Govt LP School. She is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED.
NAME OF THE CENTRE: NONGPOH (Cut off marks: 36)						
1	Smt Angelina Makri	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 24/6/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	She claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. From 15/6/1996 to 1/9/2004 she claimed teaching experience from Jyoti Sroat School, a govt aided school. She passed her PUC in 1995. She continued teaching in the same school till 2009. She resigned from Jyoti Sroat School on 18/8/2004 and joined St Pankracius UP School on 1/09/2004.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, for age relaxation the candidates who have a continuous period of not less than five (5) years of teaching experience from a Govt L.P School, is eligible. In the instant case, the candidate had experience from Jyoti Sroat School, a govt aided school. Hence, she is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAINTED.

SN	SD SN	Name of the candidate	(In-service) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tain Not T
35	2	Smt Shidalin Kharpran	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 23/6/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	Prior to joining this job, she has been teaching as Asst Teacher in Birwa UP School, since the year 2000 vide appointment order 28/2/2000. The said school is a SSA school from 2002 onwards. She passed PUC in the year 1994. She continued serving in the school from 1/3/2000 to Dec 2009 for nine (9) years. She claimed twelve (12) years of teaching experience.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, persons who possess the minimum qualifications (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as LP School teacher who have been teaching in the Govt LP Schools for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24/11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit. Birwa UP School is a SSA school. It is not a govt LP School. Hence not eligible for age relaxation.	TAIN
36	3	Smt Geona Dkhar	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 3/11/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, she passed class XII with third division which carried two (2) marks. But she was awarded five (5) marks instead of two (2) marks. If these additional three (3) marks deducted, her total comes to thirty-six (36) only. Hence tainted.	She clarified that in Class XII, she secured 434/900 marks which is SECOND CLASS PASS in 1994. She also qualified in SET exam in 2006.	She did not possess necessary teaching experience from a Govt LP school as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008 for age relaxation. She is thus age barred based on her DOB.	TAIN
37	4	Smt Speldalyne N Ranie	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 23/9/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	Prior to joining this job, she has been teaching as Officiating Asst Teacher in Patharkhma Govt High School, since the year 1997 vide appointment order 29/11/1997 issued by the Director of Higher and Technical Education. As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, persons who possess the minimum qualifications (HSSLC/PUC) prescribed for appointment as LP School teacher who have been teaching in the Govt LP Schools for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years as on 24/11/2008 are also eligible to apply provided they entered the service within the prescribed age limit. She claimed twelve (12) years of teaching experience.	She had teaching experience spread over the period from 29/11/1997 till 11/11/2008 from Patharkhma Govt High School, Ri Bhoi district, during 1997 and 2008. (29/11/1997 till Sept 1999; from 8/2/2002 to 7/5/2002; from 13/5/2002 to 12/8/2002; 20/11/2002 to 18/2/2003; 18/2/2003 to 16/5/2003; 18/11/2003 to 5/2/2004; 18/5/2004 to 14/8/2004; 16/8/2004 to 12/11/2004; 15/11/2004 to 11/2/2005; 14/2/2005 to 13/5/2005; 16/5/2005 to 13/8/2005; 31/8/2007 to 22/12/2007; etc. She lacks continuous period of not less than five (5) years of teaching experience for age relaxation.	TAIN

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
5	Smt Glory Mariong	In-service candidate	The candidate was awarded ten (10) marks for teaching experience certificate dated 18/11/2005 showing teaching experience from 1999 till 18/11/2005. Her DOB 2/1/1982. She passed her class X in 2004 and Class 12 in the year 2007. Therefore, it is not possible that she had started teaching from the year 1999 as claimed in the certificate since as per her DOB, she must be studying in class V during the year 1999. She was awarded ten (10) marks for teaching experience. IF these ten (10) marks are deducted, her total marks would be thirty (30). Hence tainted.	Her DOB is 2/1/1982. She passed SSC in the year 2004, at the age of twenty-two (22) years. She started teaching from the year 1999 (Her age is 17 years). She passed her HSSLC, from MBOSE in the year 2015, at the age of thirty-three (33) years. I taught in the Christian Secondary School, Pahamsyiem, Nongpoh from 1999 to 2009.	She passed SSC from National Institute of Open School in June 2004. She is not eligible for the job by virtue of her age and lack of essential Academic Qualification (HSSLC/PUC) as on the date of Notification dated 24/11/2008. She got her HSSLC in the year 2015 with second division. She passed JTTC examination from CTTC, Sohra in the year 2003. Christian Secondary School, Pahamsyiem, Nongpoh, is not a Govt School. She lacks basic educational qualification i.e., HSSLC pass on the date of notification i.e., 24/11/2008.	TAINTED.
NAME OF THE CENTRE: NONGSTOIN {Cut off marks: 36}						
1	Smt Lainezhskhem Diengdoh	Fresh candidate	She lacks teaching experience. Board had awarded eight (8) marks for experience which is irregular. If these eight (8) marks are deducted from the total, it comes to twenty-nine (29) only. Hence tainted.	How is the CBI to decide that the marks given are only for the experience but not for experience and teaching? I am also SET qualified which entitles me ten (10) marks. I have cleared Class XII in II Division for which I am entitled for five (5) marks.	She has cleared SET exam for which she is entitled ten (10) marks. However, she passed HSSLC in II Division in the year 2015. Presuming that she passed HSSLC in III Division to be eligible for taking the SET exam in the year 2006-07, she should be entitled only for two (2) marks for III Division pass in HSSLC prior to 2006-07. Hence, three (3) extra marks awarded for HSSLC pass in II Division in the year 2015 should be deducted. If these extra three (3) marks which were allegedly awarded to her by the Selection Committee for her HSSLC pass in III Division, her Grand total would be 37-3=34 which is below the cut off mark. Hence not qualified.	TAINTED
2	Smt Ester Warjri	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 29/3/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, she was having six (6) years of teaching experience, but the Board awarded eight (8) marks for experience, there by awarded two (2) extra marks. If these two (2) marks are deducted, her total would be thirty-seven (37) only. Hence tainted.	She claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. She claimed teaching experience from 1/2/ 2002 till 7/4/2008 from Nongkseh LP School, a govt SSA school. She passed her PUC in 1998. She continued teaching in the same school till 2008.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, for age relaxation as In-service candidate, she should have put in not less than five years of continuous service from a Govt L.P School. She had teaching experience from Nongkseh LP School, a govt SSA school. Hence not qualified for age relaxation.	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
3	Shri Kallep R Marak (21/10/2020)	Fresh Candidate	He passed Graduation with III division. But the Board awarded ten (10) marks as if he passed Graduation in II division which is irregular. He passed class XII in second division. He was awarded five (5) marks for the same. If the ten (10) additional marks is deducted, the total would be twenty-seven (27) only. Hence tainted.	His contention was that these ten (10) marks were originally awarded for experience which were wrongly mentioned under Educational Qualification head. He also claimed teaching experience from Malangkona Govt Secondary School.	His argument lacks merit. He was unduly given ten (10) additional marks for Graduation which he passed in III division, which is wrong. Hence his total marks stood at twenty-seven (27) as rightly pointed out by the CBI.	TAINTED.
4	Smt Balarilang Dkhar (21/10/2020)	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 4/6/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	She claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. She was appointed as Officiating Asst Teacher vide order dated 22/3/2002 in the Christian UP School, Siejlieh, WKH. She produced experience certificate dated 10/7/2010 with experience from 22/3/2002 to 30/6/2010.	As per Notification dated 24/11/2008, candidate having a continuous teaching experience of not less than five years from a Govt L.P School, is entitled for age relaxation. Christian UP School, Siejlieh, WKH, is a private school. Hence the candidate is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED.
5	Shri Anno Dominic Lyngkhoi	Fresh candidate	Her DOB is 11/2/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He claimed teaching experience from 1995 till 1999 from St Xavier's UP School, a govt ad hoc school. He continued teaching in that school for four years. He passed his PUC in 1998 with III division. Then he joined BPL UP school, Mawkadiang as Asst Head Master from 2000 to 2009.	He cleared PUC in the year 1998. Though he had teaching experience from 1995 onwards, his experience is counted from 1998 the year he passed PUC. BPL UP School and BPL UP school, Mawkadiang are NOT Govt schools. Hence, he is not entitled for age relaxation as In-service candidate as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	TAINTED
6	Shri Marshals-tone Marthong	Fresh candidate	The candidate was awarded ten (10) marks under "Experience" though he has NO teaching experience. If these ten (10) marks are deducted, the total would be twenty-eight (28) only. Hence tainted.	I have qualified in the SET exam. Also, I secured II Division in HSSLC. CBI has no authority to question the wisdom of the Selection Committee in awarding ten (10) marks to him under the head "Experience and practice teaching ability" which carried a maximum of ten (10) marks.	Though the Board has award ten (10) marks under Experience and Practice Teaching ability which carries a maximum of ten (10) marks. Also, he passed HSSLC in the year 2015 with Roll No 172502 in II division. HSSLC/PUC pass is the basic educational qualification as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. It seems he lacks HSSLC/PUC pass educational qualification as on the Notification dated 24/11/2008. Even if we presume his pass in HSSLC in III Division to be eligible for appearing in the SET Exam conducted in the year 2006-07, then, he is entitled for only two (2) marks for HSSLC pass in III Division. If the extra three (3) marks awarded for his alleged pass in the HSSLC in II Division, his Grand total would be 38-3=35 which is below the cut off mark.	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted or Not Tainted
7	Smt Wislinda Myrthong	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 7/1/1976. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, she was having six (6) years of teaching experience, but the Board awarded ten (10) marks for experience, there by awarded four (4) extra marks. If these four (4) marks are deducted, her total would be thirty-five (35) only. Hence tainted.	She claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. She claimed teaching experience from 15/2/ 2002 till 25/4/2008 from Khlurbarit UP School, a govt ad hoc school. She passed her PUC in 1998. She continued teaching in the same school till 2009.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, for age relaxation as In-service candidate, she should have put in not less than five years of continuous service from a Govt L.P School. She had teaching experience from Khlurbarit UP School, a govt ad hoc school. She is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAINTED
NAME OF THE CENTRE: RESUBELPARA (Cut off mark: 34)						
1	Shri Moonster Rongrongre Sangma	In-service candidate	His DOB is 10/9/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 27/2/2003. He was appointed as Asst Teacher vide order dated 1/2/1996 in the Lower Rongbu Pvt LP School from 1/2/1996 to 12/7/2006. (ten years) This experience certificate was issued by the Dy Insp of Schools, Resubelpara.	He was appointed as Asst Teacher vide order dated 1/2/1996 in the Lower Rongbu Pvt LP School from 1/2/1996 to 12/7/2006. Whereas the Notification dated 24/11/2008 clearly states that those having a continuous period of not less than five years' experience are eligible for age relaxation. Rongbu Pvt LP School is a govt aided school. Hence not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED
2	Smt Walse K Sangma	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 12/1/1971. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Hence tainted.	She claimed age relaxation under the Notification dated 24/11/2008. She produced experience certificate dated 29/5/1992 from Resu Union UP School, which is a Govt aided school. She also produced an experience certificate dated NIL from the Secretary, Resu Union UP School that she has been serving as Asst Teacher from 1993 TILL DATE.	The experience certificate lacks the date and the duration of the experience. Resu Union UP School, is a private school. She is not entitled for age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	TAINTED
3	Shri Limpidson R Marak	In-service candidate	His DOB is 4/1/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He claimed teaching 2experience from Bolsong Govt aided ME school since 1/02/1994 which is a Govt aided school and continued teaching in the said school till date i.e., 15/2/2009 the date of issue of the experience certificate.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, a candidate having not less than five years of continuous experience from a Govt L.P School is entitled for age relaxation. Bolsong Govt aided ME school is not a govt school. Hence, he is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAINTED
4	Shri Indro T Sangma	In-service candidate	His DOB is 14/11/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Hence tainted.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He claimed teaching experience from 1997 till 1999 as Asst Teacher in Pringprang English School and from 2000 till 23/7/2004 serving the school as Head Master of the same school. Hence, he claimed teaching experience from 1997 till 2004 which is more than five years of continuous teaching experience.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, only those who are serving in Govt L.P Schools for a continuous period of not less than five (5) years are entitled for age relaxation. Pringprang English School is a private school. Hence the candidate is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted Not Tainted
5	Shri Roelstone M Momin	In-service candidate	His DOB is 12/1/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He claimed teaching experience from Simseng Bongga Govt aided UP School since 1/09/1995 which is a Govt aided school and continued teaching in the said school till date i.e., 20/7/2009 the date of issue of the experience certificate.	He produced experience certificate from Simseng Bongga Govt aided UP School for a period from Aug 1995 onwards till July 2009. As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, only those candidates who have a continuous period of not less than five years from a Govt L P school are entitled for age relaxation.	TAIANTED
6	Smt Rekha B Rabha	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 24/3/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Hence tainted.	She claimed age relaxation under the Notification dated 24/11/2008. She produced experience certificate dated NIL from New Harinkata Adhoc UP School, which is a Govt aided school, since 2/2/2002. She also produced appointment letter No NHS/A-TR/2002/63 dated 6/2/2002 that as per the approval of the Dy Insp of Schools, Resubelpara, Sub-Division, EGH, vide his Memo No DSRS/MA/2001/2710/dated 5/2/2002, she was appointed as the Head Master of the same school.	She claimed age relaxation as In-service candidate. She submitted experience certificate from New Harinkata Adhoc UP School, which is a Govt aided school. This is a private school. Hence, she is not entitled for age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	TAIANTED
7	Shri Changseng R Marak	In-service candidate	His DOB is 15/11/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He claimed NO teaching experience for age relaxation as per the above Notification.	He is not eligible for age relaxation as he lacks continuous teaching experience of not less than five years as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	TAIANTED
8	Shri Thomdike D Marak	Fresh candidate	He did not qualify in SET exam. The Board awarded ten (10) marks under Professional Qualification head as if he had SET qualification. If these ten (10) marks are deducted, the total would be twenty-six (26) only. Hence tainted.	He stated that the SET certificate was enclosed with the Application submitted for this job. He did not keep a copy of the SET certificate.	His contention lacks merit. He did not qualify in SET. CBI has rightly brought on record that his total marks would be twenty-six (26) only.	TAIANTED
9	Shri Benjiral Momin	In-service candidate	His DOB is 20/11/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He claimed teaching experience from Rev Ramke Secondary School since 16/06/1996 which is a Govt aided school, till 2008. Thereafter from 30/8/2008 he continued teaching in Thapa Khantolgun Govt aided Secondary school (Govt Ad hoc school).	His experience certificate dated 15/1/2009 from Rev Ramke Secondary School shows twelve (12) years of teaching experience from 1996 to 2008. This school is a govt aided school. Hence the candidate is not eligible for age relaxation under the Notification dated 24/11/2008.	TAIANTED
10	Shri Rollenhil Marak	In-service candidate	His DOB is 1/10/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 27/2/2003. He was appointed as Asst Teacher vide order dated 6/2/2002 in the Songmegap Govt Ad hoc UP School from 28/2/2002 to 14/4/2009. (seven years)	From the certificate submitted by the candidate, it appears that he had a continuous experience of not less than five years from Songmegap Govt Ad hoc UP School from 28/2/2002 to 14/4/2009, EGH. This is a Govt Ad hoc school and as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008 he is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAIANTED


SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
11	Shri Carfeus A Sangma	In-service candidate	As per the records, his DOB is 14/9/1976. Being a ST candidate, the upper age limit is thirty-two (32) years and minimum age would be eighteen years as on 1/12/2008. Hence, he should have been born between 30/11/1976 and 30/11/1990. Therefore, he was age barred.	I humbly state that my DOB was 14/9/1976. Thus, I was 27 years of age as on 25/11/2003 which is well within the prescribed age limit/.	The argument put forth by the candidate lacks merit. His DOB is 14/9/1976. CBI rightly brought on record that he is age barred. He did not have teaching experience from a Govt L.P School for a continuous period of not less than five years age relaxation.	TAINTED
12	Smt Dipalee A Sangma	Fresh candidate	She passed Degree in III division. Also passed XII standard in II division. She was awarded ten (10) marks as if she passed Degree in II division, which is incorrect. She deserves five (5) marks for her XII class II division. Hence, five (5) extra marks were unduly awarded to her. If these five (5) marks deducted, the total would be thirty-one (31) only. Hence tainted.	She contended that she passed MA in second division in 2004. The Board awarded ten (10) marks for my MA pass with second division.	The argument put forth by the candidate lacks merit. CBI rightly pointed out that the Board unduly awarded ten (10) marks for her Graduation though she passed in III division. She passed Class XII in second division for which she is entitled for five (5) marks. Her total thus is thirty-one (31) only as pointed out by the CBI which is below the cut off mark.	TAINTED
13	Smt Levica R Sangma	Fresh candidate	She passed Degree in III division. Also passed XII standard in III division. She was awarded ten (10) marks as if she passed Degree in II division, which is incorrect. She deserves two (2) marks for her XII class III division. Hence, ten (10) extra marks were unduly awarded to her. If these ten (10) marks deducted, the total would be twenty-five (25) only. Hence tainted.	She passed BA in 2005 with III division. She passed B.Ed. in the year 2006 from NEHU. There is no dispute of the fact that she secured III division in Class XII.	She gets no marks for BA pass in III division. She is entitled for five (5) marks for passing B.Ed under Professional Qualification head. Also, she secures two (2) marks for passing class XII in third division. If five (5) marks for the B.Ed. degree, are added, the total would be thirty (30) only. Not qualified.	TAINTED
14	Smt Anamika Boro	Fresh candidate	She did not qualify in SET exam. The Board awarded ten (10) marks under Professional Qualification head as if she had SET qualification. Also, the Board awarded one (1) mark extra for experience. If these eleven (10+1=11) marks are deducted, the total would be twenty-three (23) only. Hence tainted.	She claimed five (5) years of teaching experience before the CBI. She also stated that she qualified SET but the original certificate she submitted along with the original Application without retaining a copy.	The plea that she submitted the original SET certificate along with the Application without retaining a copy of the same is untenable. If the ten (10) marks unduly awarded for SET. Though she did not possess the same, is deducted, her total would be 34-10=24.	TAINTED
15	Shri Promith Kumar Rabha	Fresh Candidate	He did not qualify in SET exam. The Board awarded ten (10) marks under Professional Qualification head as if he had SET qualification. If these ten (10) marks are deducted, the total would be twenty-four (24). Hence tainted.	He stated that he qualified SET examination, but the original certificate he submitted along with the original Application without retaining a copy.	The plea that he submitted the original SET certificate along with the Application without retaining a copy of the same is untenable. If the ten (10) marks unduly awarded for SET. Though he did not possess the same, is deducted, the total would be 34-10=24.	TAINTED


N	SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
1	16	Smt Menothy R Sangma	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 19/11/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Hence tainted.	She claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. She claimed teaching experience from 2000 till March 2005 from Kosichora Millennium English School. There after she continued teaching in Indira Gandhi Memorial Girls Secondary school (Govt Ad hoc school) from 17/5/2005 onwards as on date i.e., 21/7/2009 the date of issue of the experience certificate.	As per the Notification dated 24/11/2008, a continuous teaching experience of not less than five (5) years from any Govt L.P School is required for age relaxation. Kosichora Millenium English School is not a govt school. Hence, she is not eligible for age relaxation.	TAINTED
2	17	Shri Ashok Kr Hato	Fresh candidate	He did not qualify in SET exam. The Board awarded ten (10) marks under Professional Qualification head as if he had SET qualification. He also produced a certificate of experience dated 23/7/2009 for having experience of four (4) years. The Board awarded eight (8) marks which is four (4) higher. If these fourteen (10+4=14) marks are deducted, the total would be twenty (20). Hence tainted.	He stated that he qualified SET examination, but the original certificate he submitted along with the original Application without retaining a copy.	The plea that he submitted the original SET certificate along with the Application without retaining a copy of the same is untenable. If the ten (10) marks unduly awarded for SET, though he did not possess the same, is deducted, the total would be 34-10=24.	TAINTED
3	18	Shri Probin Rabha	In-service candidate	His DOB is 20/7/1974. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred. Also, he did not qualify in SET exam. If these ten (10) marks given for SET is deducted, the total would be twenty-five (25) only. Hence tainted on the above two counts.	He claimed age relaxation as per the Notification dated 24/11/2008. He was appointed as Asst Teacher vide order dated 6/2/2002 in the Mendipathar Govt ad hoc School. He worked there from 2/3/1998 to 29/2/2000. He also worked as contractual teacher in Mendima Assamese Govt LP School from 22/7/2005 to 2009 periodically for 59 days as per periodical orders issued by the local Education Officer. Also, he stated that he submitted original SET certificate with the Application filed for this job. He did not keep a copy of the same.	His explanation is far from satisfactory. He did not qualify for age relaxation as per his experience certificates. He lacks five years of continuous experience for age relaxation. He did not qualify in SET exam. For which unduly he was awarded ten (10) marks.	TAINTED
NAME OF THE CENTRE: SOHRA (Cut off marks: 35)							
4	1	Shri Alan Nongbri	In-service candidate	His DOB is 1/5/1972. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	The reading of my DOB by CBI is flawed. As per the Notification No EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Ed qualification under 1(d), he claimed age relaxation. I have passed Diploma in Elementary School Education Examination in the year 2006 conducted by the DERT. I was appointed as Asst Teacher in Mawphu UP School, Mawphu since 21/6/2002.	He passed Diploma in Elementary Teacher Education Examination in 2006 conducted by the DERT. He passed HSSLC in II Division in the year 2015. He has been working as Asst Teacher in Mawphu UP Grant in Aid School, from 1/7/2002 till 31/12/2009 which is reiterated by the Sub-Divisional School Ed Officer, Sohra vide Letter No NIL and dated NIL. As per the Notification No EDN.360/85/Pt.II/428 dated 24/11/2008, Ed qualification under 1(d), Mawphu U.P School is not a Govt School. Hence, he is not entitled for age relaxation.	TAINTED

SD SN	Name of the candidate	(Inservice) or (Fresh candidate)	Observations by the CBI	Grounds put forth by the candidate	Whether grounds put forth by the candidate are valid & justified?	Tainted / Not Tainted
2	Smt Wannilang Majaw	In-service candidate	Her DOB is 14/11/1975. The ST candidates whose DOB falls in between 30-11-1976 and 30-11-1990 are eligible. Hence the candidate is age barred.	The reading of my DOB by CBI is flawed. As per the Notification No EDN.360/85/Pl.II/428 dated 24/11/2008. Ed qualification under 1(d), she claimed age relaxation. She has been working as Asst Teacher in RKM School, vide certificate No RKM/SO/TWIMC/18-19/10780 dated 25/4/2018 from 4/3/2005 till 4/1/2010 (two months less than Five years)	She qualified in SET. As per the experience certificate dated 25/4/2018, she has four years and ten months teaching experience. She is a BA graduate with pass marks. She is age barred. She has been working as Asst Teacher in RKM School, vide certificate No RKM/SO/TWIMC/18-19/10780 dated 25/4/2018 from 4/3/2005 till 4/1/2010 (two months less than Five years). RKM School, Sohra is not a Govt School to claim age relaxation.	TAINTED
3	Smt Saiarippa Marbaniang	Fresh candidate.	She passed Graduation with first class marks. But she was awarded only two (2) marks. She deserves ten (10) marks for her First-class degree. But she did not qualify in SET exam. But she was wrongly awarded ten (10) marks for the same. These ten (10) marks need to be deducted from the total. She got only two (2) years teaching experience, but the Board awarded seven (7) marks which is five (5) marks more than entitled marks. Hence these five (5) excess marks also need to be deducted from the total. Thus, the net effect would be $36 - (10+5) + 8 - 2 = 27$	She is a graduate with First class marks. She also qualified in SET and the original was submitted along with the Application without keeping a copy of the same. She also had two years teaching experience.	The grounds put forth by the candidate are not valid. The Board has its discretion to award seven (7) marks under Experience and Practice Teaching ability which carries a maximum of ten (10) marks. She also deserves ten (10) marks for her First-class degree. By adding eight (8) additional marks to the total for her First-class degree, her total would be $36 - 2 = 34 + 8 = 42$. But she lacks SET qualification. Hence ten (10) marks have to be deducted from the total 42. Then her total would be $42 - 10 = 32$. The cut off marks were 35.	TAINTED


 (Anita Kharpor)
 Joint Secretary
 Government of Meghalaya


 (M. S. Rao)
 Chief Secretary
 Government of Meghalaya


 (Dr. Vanessa Kharmawphiang)
 Principal, CTE (PGT)
 Shillong, Meghalaya


 (G. H. P. Raju)
 Principal Secretary to the Govt of Meghalaya
 (Assisted the Chief Secretary)

IMMEDIATE.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL EDUCATION AND LITERACY,
MEGHALAYA ::::::: SHILLONG.

No. DSEL/EL/GA/ALG/2/Pt.VI/2011/22,

Dated Shillong the 09th /June, 2022.

From : Shri. A. Ch. Marak, IAS.,
Director of School Education & Literacy,
Meghalaya, Shillong

To : The Sub-Divisional School Education Officer,
Baghmara/Khliehriat/Mairang/Mawkyrwat/Nongpoh/Nongstoin
/Resubelpara/Sohra.

Subject : O R D E R.

Sir/Madam,

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of Govt. Order No. EDN/CC-13/2012/Pt.XV/4, Dt. 22/02/2022, alongwith the enclosure therein received from Dy. Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department, Shillong and to request you to kindly circulate/issue the same to the concerned tainted candidates of your respective jurisdiction.

This is for your kind information and immediate necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Enclo: As stated


Director of School Education & Literacy,
Meghalaya, Shillong.

Memo. No. DSEL/EL/GA/ALG/2/Pt.VI/2011/23,

Dated Shillong the 09th /June, 2022.

Copy to :

The Dy. Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya, Education Department, Shillong.


Director of School Education & Literacy,
Meghalaya, Shillong

